## Case 1:20-cr-00015-PKC Document 99 Filed 02/24/21 Page 1-0132

## **U.S.** Department of Justice

United States Attorney Southern District of New York

The Silvio J. Mollo Building One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007

BY ECF

The Honorable P. Kevin Castel United States District Judge Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan U.S. Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

The motion to compel is

The motion to compel is

DENIED as most in view

of the parties' stymbation inde

of the parties' stymbation terminale

of the Plank shall terminale

The Clark shall terminale

September 1963.

Septembe February 24, 2021

United States v. Virgil Griffith, 20 Cr. 15 (PKC) Re:

Dear Judge Castel:

The Government respectfully submits this letter in accordance with the Court's direction at yesterday's conference. At the conference, the Court instructed the Government to review the transcript of the proceeding, which the Government has done. (See Feb. 23, 2021 Tr., attached as Exhibit A.) Upon reviewing the transcript, and in light of the record of prior proceedings in this case, the Government agrees to the stipulation (the "Stipulation") proposed by the defense at the conference, as set forth below:

> The Government will not present argument or evidence that the information that Mr. Griffith allegedly provided and intended to provide while in North Korea for the Cryptocurrency Conference in April 2019 was beyond the then-existing capabilities and knowledge of the government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, but may present evidence that certain individuals at the Conference gained information new to them.

The Government is prepared to agree to this Stipulation in light of the colloquy at the conference clarifying that the Stipulation will not foreclose the Government from introducing otherwise admissible evidence, subject to any appropriate limiting instruction, of the defendant's statements, co-conspirator statements, or events at the Pyongyang conference. (See Feb. 23, 2021 Tr. at 16-19; id. at 4-5 (discussing examples of this type of evidence)). The Government further expects that the parties will litigate the admissibility of particular pieces of evidence touching on this subject through in limine motion practice, including evidence that the defense may seek to offer.

Hon. P. Kevin Castel February 24, 2021

Page 2

Accordingly, in light of the Stipulation, the Government respectfully submits that the defense's motion to compel a search of files of various government agencies regarding the cryptocurrency and blockchain capabilities of the DPRK should be denied as moot.

Respectfully submitted,

AUDREY STRAUSS United States Attorney

By: /s/
Kimberly J. Ravener
Kyle A. Wirshba
Assistant United States Attorneys
(212) 637-2358 / 2493

cc: Brian Klein, Esq.Keri Axel, Esq.Sean Buckley, Esq.Defense Counsel for Virgil Griffith